IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No 467 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

STATE OF GUJARAT

Versus

SURENDRA RATILAL PATEL

Appearance:

MR SAMIR J DAVE, APP, for Petitioner

MR HR PRAJAPATI for Respondent No. 3

None present for other Respondents

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 09/01/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. Both the counsel for the parties raised manifold contentions but looking to the nature of the case, the interest of justice will be served in case this special

criminal application is disposed of in terms that the learned trial court shall decide the criminal case within a period of six months from the date of receipt of writ of this order. The prosecution shall produce all of its evidence within a period of one month and the accused shall have one month's period to produce their defence evidence if they so desire. Rest of the period be taken by the trial court to do needful in the trial as well as to dictate and pronounce the judgment finally.

3. The special criminal application and Rule stand disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs. However, in case within six moths, the criminal case is not finally decided by the learned trial court, liberty is granted to the respondent No.3 to apply for revival of this special criminal application.

****

zgs/-